LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUN 4 196
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM 196

No. [redacted] 1311

RALPH RICHARDSON, - - - - - Petitioner,

versus

C. C. BRUNNER, alone Case 1, - - Respondent.

C. C. BRUNNER,
CITY OF LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY,
VERNON H. WETHERBY, Tax Receiver,
WM. B. MEYER, Director of Finance,
HON. JOHN B. BRECKINRIDGE, Attorney General. } Case 2 Respondents.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF KENTUCKY.

Civil rights include Constitutional guarantee to notice and a hearing *before, not after,* the taking of private property even for the collection and payment of municipal taxes.

FRANK E. HADDAD, JR.,
ROBERT HUBBARD,
Kentucky Home Life Bldg.,
Louisville 2, Kentucky,
Attorneys for Petitioner.

H. E. ROSE
Of Counsel.

WESTERFIELD-BONTE CO., INCORPORATED, LOUISVILLE, KY.

INDEX

	PAGE
I. The Petition	1–11
A. Opinions of the Court Below	1
B. Grounds on which the Jurisdiction of This Court is Invoked	2
C. Date of Judgment (opinion) Sought to be Reviewed	2
D. Date of Order Respecting Rehearing:	2
E. Statutory Provisions Believed to Confer Jurisdiction on This Court	3
28 U. S. C. A. Sec. 1257 (3) etc.,	
F. Questions Presented for Review	3–4
(1) Does KRS 91.540 take private property without just compensation?	3
(2) Does KRS 91.540 deprive one of property without due process of law?	4
(3) Taking property under void judgment is without due process of law	4
G. Constitutional Provisions, Statutes and Rules of Civil Procedure Involved	4–5
H. Concise Statement of Cases Containing the Facts material to the Consideration of the Questions Presented	5–8
I. Former Petition For Writ of Certiorari Denied	9–10
Cleveland & P. R. Co., v. Cleveland, 235 U. S. 50 59 L. Ed. 127	9
Missouri Pac. R. R. Co., v. Hanna, 266 U. S. 184 69 L. Ed. 235	9
J. State of Proceedings Where and When Federal Questions Raised	10–11
Case 1. On April 14, 1960 by motion (See Case 1. Tr. 4, pp. 130-135	10
Case 2 On March 24, 1960 by complaint and amended complaints (see Case 2, Tr. 1, pp. 5–17)	11

PAGE

II. Argument and Contentions Which Petitioner Most Respectfully Maintains Fully and Amply Support Reasons Why the Court Should Grant His Petition For a Writ of Certiorari and Reverse the Lower Court 11-54

(A) Preliminary Remarks 11-16

1. Apostle Paul Before King Agrippa 11-13
2. Churchill—"England's Darkest Hour"—Our Persistence 13-14
3. Declaration of Independence was drawn by Able, Learned and Patriotic Men 14-15
4. No Exceptions in Federal or State Constitutions 15
5. Power to Tax—Power to Destroy 16

McCulloch v. State of Maryland, et al., 17 U. S. 316, 4 Wheat 316, 4 L. Ed. 579 16

6. Destruction of Government "Of the People, By the People, and For the People"—Lincoln 16

(B) KRS 91.540 Authorizes and Empowers the City Tax Receiver to Convey the Fee Simple Title to Private Property for Public Purposes—Namely, for the Collection or Payment of City Taxes—Without Just Compensation and is Therefore Contrary to Both the State and Federal Constitutions, Hence is Unconstitutional. 17-19

1. City Tax Receiver Cannot Sell for More Than a Tax Bill 17

Collins v. Lane 151 Ky. 8 150 S. W. 977 17

2. Selling and Conveying Private Property to Collect Tax Bill or to Pay a Tax Bill is Selling and Conveying it for Public Purposes—That is, Collection of Taxes 17-19

Sec. 171 of Kentucky's Constitution 17

PAGE

Industrial Development Authority et al. v. Eastern Ky. Regional Planning Commission, Ky. 332 S. W. 2d 274 17–18

C & O Ry. Co., v. City of Silver Grove (Ky.) 249 S. W. 2d 520 18

(C) Due Process of Law, Even in the Collection of Taxes (Neither the Federal Nor the State Constitutions Make Any Exceptions) Means a Notice and an Opportunity to be Heard, *before, not after,* Private Property is Taken. 20–27

City of Louisville v. Cochran, 82 Ky. (John Rodman) 15 20

Maguiar v. Henry, etc., 84 Ky. 1 22

Board of Levee Com. v. Johnson, et al, 178 Ky. 287, 199 S. W. (8) 23

General Electric Company v. American Buyers Cooperative, Ky. 316 S. W. 2d, 354 25

12 AM. JUR. Sec. 573, pp. 267-268. 26

(D) KRS 91.540 is Contrary to Both the Federal and State Constitutions in That It Takes Private Property Without Due Process of Law, That is, a Notice and a Hearing *before,* not *after,* the Property is Taken or Conveyed or Given Away for a Mere Pittance of Tax. 28–31

Interstate Bond Co.; v. Williams, 290 Ky. 850, 162 S. W. 2d 770 28

Brunner v. Bell, Ky. 290 S. W. 2d 461 28

(E) Default Judgment of October 22, 1956, Case 1, is Void Because Complaint Did Not State Cause of Action Which Conferred Jurisdiction Upon the Court to Grant It. Hence taking of Petitioner's Property Under It,

PAGE

is Without Due Process of Law and Contrary to Both the Federal and State Constitutions. 31–34

(1) Three conditions must exist in a complaint to confer jurisdiction upon the court to render a valid judgment. If any one of them is absent the judgment is void. 31

Lowther v. Moss, 239 Ky. 290, 39 S. W. 2d 348 31

Wagner, et al. v. Peoples Building and Loan Association, 292 Ky. 691, 167 S. W. 2d 825 31

(2) Where Right of Action is Statutory, provisions of Statute must be Plead or a Judgment rendered on such a Complaint is Void. 32

Penick v. Commonwealth for use and benefit of Barnett, 250 Ky. 86, 61, S. W. 2d 1098 32

Burkhart v. City of Newport, 265 Ky. 796, 97 S. W. 2d 803 32

Johnson v. Coleman et al (Ky.) 288 S. W. 2d 348 32

Hodges v. Hodges, (Ky.) 314 S. W. 2d, 208 32

(3) Respondent C. C. Brunner's Complaint, Case 1, His Cause of Action, if any he has, is based on KRS 91.540. It is fatally defective in that it does not set forth the necessary provisions of said statute, hence the default judgment of October 22, 1956 is void. It confers no rights. It is a nullity. It takes petitioner's property without due process of law. 32–33

PAGE

Scholl v. Margulis, 215 Ky. 62, 284 S. W. 421 33

Wolfe County et al v. Tolson, et al., 823 Ky. 11, 140 S. W. 2d, 671 33

30 A AM. JUR. Sec. 45, pp. 197-199 ... 33

(4) Taking Petitioner's property by and Under a Void Judgment is Most Certainly—a Taking Without Due Process of Law and Contrary to Section 1 of the 14th Amendment of the Constitution of the United States 34

(F) Case 1. The Lower Court erred Greatly in Refusing to Pass upon the Constitutionality of KRS 91.540 in Case 1 and in Holding "So Richardson's motion Under CR 60.02 Could Not Put in issue the Question of the Constitutionality of the Statute." The Former Opinions—Richardson v. Brunner, Ky. 327 S. W. 2d 572, and Richardson v. Brunner, Ky. 328 S. W. 2d 154—of the Lower Court should also be Considered in this Connection. 34–46

(1) Void Judgment is not entitled to any respect, hence does not effect the question of constitutionality or unconstitutionality of KRS 91.540 34

(2) The Case of Commonwealth v. Jefferson County 300 Ky. 514, 189 S. W. 2d 604—Not Applicable Clearly distinguishable. 35–36

(3) International Harvester Company of America v. Commonwealth 170 Ky. 41, 185 S. W. 102 37–41

International Harvester Company v. Kentucky 234 U. S. 41, 34 Sct. 853, 58 L. Ed. 1284 37

PAGE

Veith et al v. City of Louisville (Ky.), et al., 355 S. W. 2d 295 39

30 A. AM JUR. Sec. 19, p. 171 39

12 AM. JUR. 473-586, pp. 139, 283 ...39–40

(4) Ralph Richardson v. C. C. Brunner, Ky. 327 S. W. 2d 572, Case 1 at bar...41–46

Dant v. Progress Paint Mfg. Co., (Ky.) 309 S. W. 2d 187 41

Carr Creek Community Center v. Home Lumber Co., 276 Ky. 840, 125 S. W. 2d 777 42

Strother et al., v. Day et al (Ky.) 248 S. W. 2d 347 43

Carr et al v. Brownfield (Ky.) 255 S. W. 2d 623 43

Bargo v. Ray C. Lewis, Judge, (Ky.) 305 S. W. 2d 757 44

30 A AM. JUR. Sec. 636, p. 609 45

(G) Case 2 Involved Herein.....46–50

KRS 418.040, KRS 418.045, KRS 418.050... 47

George v. Bernheim Distilling Co., 300 Ky. 179, 188 S. W. 2d 321 47

(H) Erroneous Reasoning of the Lower Court...50–51

Brunner v. Bell (Ky.) 290 S. W. 2d 461 51

(I) Collection of Taxes—Necessity—Civil Rights 51–52

(1) Collection of Taxes 51

(2) Necessity 52

(3) Civil Rights 52

(J) Conclusion 53–54

PAGE

Appendix I 55-59

First opinion of the Court Below Richardson v. Brunner 327 S. W. 2d (Ky.) 572

Appendix II 60-61

Second Opinion of the Court Below Richardson v. Brunner 328 S. W. 2d (Ky.) 530

Appendix III 62-66

Third Opinion of the Court Below Richardson v. Brunner et al —— S. W. 2d (Ky.) —

Appendix IV 67-79

Constitutions, Statutes, Rules of Civil Procedure Involved

CITATIONS.

Cases:

PAGE

12 AM. JUR. Sec. 573, pp. 267-268 26

30 AM. JUR. Sec. 45, pp. 197-199 33

30 AM. JUR. Sec. 19, p. 171 39

12 AM. JUR. Sec. 636, page 609 45

12 AM. JUR. Secs. 473-536 pp. 139-283 ..... 39, 40

Bargo v. Lewis (Ky.) 305 S. W. 2d 257 44

Board of Levee Com. v. Johnson, et al., 178 Ky. 287, 199 S. W. (8) 23, 26

Brunner v. Bell (Ky.) 290 S. W. 2d 461, ..28, 31, 45, 46, 51

Burkhart v. City of Newport, 265 Ky. 796, 97 S. W. 2d 803 32